

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,135	01/18/2002	Yoshiki Nakamatsu	SAT 170	3813	
23995	7590 03/09/2005		EXAMINER		
RABIN & B	•	CHARLES, DEBRA F			
1101 14TH S SUITE 500	TREET, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005	3624			
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)			•			
Office Action Summary		10/050,13	35	NAKAMATSU ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit		•			
		Debra F. (Charles	3624					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	orrespondence ac	idress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days by period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. , a reply within the state period will apply and water the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 January 200	<u>2</u> .	·					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims		·						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 								
	•	minor		•					
·	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
ו(טו	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmer									
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	(8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	•					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date	*	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/050,135

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto et al.(6272332B1).

Re claims 1-8: Matusmoto et al. disclose a charging system (col. 10, lines 40-55) comprising:

a plurality of client apparatuses each of which is connected to a network(Figs. 1-16);

a server apparatus(Abstract) which provides at least one service to said plurality of client apparatuses via said network(Abstract, i.e. portable communication terminal is a client apparatus); a charging apparatus connected to said network in order to make

a charge regarding the provision of said service(col. 10, lines 40-55);

a plurality of first processing apparatuses which are provided for

said network in order to control the provision of said service from said server apparatus to said client apparatuses and accumulate information for charging by said charging apparatus with respect to said service when said service is provided to said client apparatuses via said first processing apparatuses(col. 10, lines 15-45); and a second processing apparatus(col. 11, lines 10-40) circulates among said plurality of first processing apparatuses via said network, collects the information for charging accumulated in each of said first processing apparatuses(col. 10, lines 40-55) and provides said collected information for charging to said charging apparatus.

Matsumoto et al. does not explicitly disclose which has a cyclic unit.

However, in figs. 1, items 201 and 301, it is clear the data is being circulated from the data server to the public line network and back again. Thus, it would have been obvious to one with an ordinary level of skill in the art to employ a cyclic unit to get the benefit of transmitting data around the network, and charging for the data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra F.

Art Unit: 3624

Charles whose telephone number is (703) 305-4718. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent A. Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Debra F. Charles Examiner Art Unit 3624

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600